


A Universal Decomposition for Distributed Optimization Algorithms

Bryan Van Scoy
Miami University

Laurent Lessard
Northeastern University

Distributed optimization

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x_i) \\ & x_1, \dots, x_n \\ \text{subject to} & x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n \end{array}$$



$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \nabla \mathbf{f} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla f_1 \\ \nabla f_2 \\ \vdots \\ \nabla f_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{6} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

Algorithms

$$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = (I - \mathbf{L})\mathbf{x}^t - \alpha \nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^t) \quad \text{DGD'09}$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = (I - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{L})(2\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^{t-1}) - \alpha \nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^t) + \alpha \nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{t-1}) \quad \text{EXTRA'15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^{t+1} &= (I - \mathbf{L})(\mathbf{x}^t - \alpha \mathbf{y}^t) \\ \mathbf{y}^{t+1} &= (I - \mathbf{L})(\mathbf{y}^t + \nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) - \nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^t)) \end{aligned} \quad \text{AugDGM'15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^{t+1} &= (I - \mathbf{L})\mathbf{x}^t - \alpha \mathbf{y}^t \\ \mathbf{y}^{t+1} &= (I - \mathbf{L})\mathbf{y}^t + \nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) - \nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^t) \end{aligned} \quad \text{DIGing'17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}^{t+1} &= (I - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{L})\mathbf{x}^t - \alpha \nabla \mathbf{f}((I - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{L})\mathbf{x}^t) \\ \mathbf{x}^{t+1} &= (I - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{L})\mathbf{x}^t + \mathbf{y}^{t+1} - \mathbf{y}^t \end{aligned} \quad \text{ExDiff'17}$$


$$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = (I - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{L})(2\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^{t-1} - \alpha \nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^t) + \alpha \nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{t-1})) \quad \text{NIDS'19}$$

and more...

Questions

- 1) Does every algorithm decompose into a centralized optimization method and a consensus estimator?
- 2) Given a centralized optimization method and a consensus estimator, can we combine them to form an algorithm?

Optimization




$$y = G_{\text{opt}} u$$

$$u = \nabla f(y)$$

	Algorithm	$\widehat{G}_{\text{opt}}(z)$
Gradient descent	$y^{t+1} = y^t - \alpha \nabla f(y^t)$	$\frac{-\alpha}{z-1}$
Accelerated methods	$x^{t+1} = x^t + \beta(x^t - x^{t-1}) - \alpha \nabla f(y^t)$ $y^t = x^t + \gamma(x^t - x^{t-1})$	$\frac{-\alpha(z + \gamma(z-1))}{(z-1)(z-\beta)}$
Proximal methods	$y^{t+1} \in \arg \min_y f(y) + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \ y - y^t\ ^2$	$\frac{-\alpha z}{z-1}$

Consensus



$$\begin{bmatrix} y_i \\ z_i \end{bmatrix} = G_{\text{con}} \begin{bmatrix} w_i \\ v_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$v_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} (z_i - z_j)$$

	Algorithm	$\widehat{G}_{\text{con}}(z)$
First-order estimator	$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{w}^t - \mathbf{x}^t)$ $\mathbf{y}^t = \mathbf{w}^t - \mathbf{x}^t$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-1}{z-1} \\ 1 & \frac{-1}{z-1} \end{bmatrix}$
Second-order estimator	$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = 2\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^{t-1} + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{y}^t$ $\mathbf{y}^t = \mathbf{w}^t - \frac{1}{2}(2\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^{t-1})$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{\frac{1}{2}-z}{(z-1)^2} \\ 1 & \frac{\frac{1}{2}-z}{(z-1)^2} \end{bmatrix}$

Our approach


Define optimization methods, consensus estimators, and distributed optimization algorithms.

- correct fixed points
- convergence on quadratic functions $f(x) = \varepsilon \|x\|^2$ for small ε
- convergence on balanced and sufficiently connected graphs

For LTI systems, give equivalent conditions in terms of transfer functions.

- for example, \widehat{G}_{opt} has a pole at $z = 1$ and is proper

A universal decomposition



- G_{opt} is a centralized optimization method
- G_{con} is a second-order consensus estimator

Every distributed optimization algorithm decomposes in this form, and any optimization method and consensus estimator form a valid algorithm.

Non-accelerated algorithms


$$\widehat{G}_{\text{con}}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{\frac{1}{2}-z}{(z-1)^2} \\ 1 & \frac{\frac{1}{2}-z}{(z-1)^2} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{EXTRA}$$

$$\widehat{G}_{\text{con}}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-\frac{1}{2}z^2}{(z-1)^2} \\ 1 & \frac{\frac{1}{2}-z}{(z-1)^2} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{NIDS / ExDiff}$$

$$\widehat{G}_{\text{con}}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-z(z+\beta-1)}{(z-1)^2} \\ 1 & \frac{1-(1+\beta)z}{(z-1)^2} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{SVL}$$

In all cases, $\widehat{G}_{\text{opt}}(z) = \frac{-\alpha}{z-1}$ (gradient descent).


Internal stability



- \hat{G}_{opt} must have a pole at $z = 1$
- at steady-state, the gradients u are non-zero, so w grows linearly

The dynamics are not internally stable.

Factored form



- G_{opt} is a centralized optimization method
- G_{con1} and G_{con2} are first-order consensus estimators

Better properties (internal stability), but not all algorithms factor.

Non-accelerated algorithms that factor

$$\widehat{G}_{\text{con1}}(z), \widehat{G}_{\text{con2}}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-1}{z-1} \\ 1 & \frac{-1}{z-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-z}{z-1} \\ 1 & \frac{-1}{z-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- DIGing uses the estimator on the left for both factors
- \mathcal{AB} uses one of each estimator
- AugDGM uses the estimator on the right for both factors

In all cases, $\widehat{G}_{\text{opt}}(z) = \frac{-\alpha}{z-1}$ (gradient descent).


Accelerated algorithms that factor

$$\widehat{G}_{\text{con1}}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\alpha} \widehat{G}_{\text{opt}}(z) \\ 1 & \frac{1}{\alpha} \widehat{G}_{\text{opt}}(z) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{G}_{\text{con2}}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-1}{z-1} \\ 1 & \frac{-1}{z-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- \mathcal{ABN} uses $\gamma = \beta$ (Nesterov's method)
- \mathcal{ABm} uses $\gamma = 0$ (heavy-ball method)


In all cases, $\widehat{G}_{\text{opt}}(z) = \frac{-\alpha(z+\gamma(z-1))}{(z-1)(z-\beta)}$ (accelerated method).

Interpretation: robust optimization




The optimization method must be robust to dynamic uncertainty.

Interpretation: consensus with feedback



The consensus estimator must be stable under nonlinear feedback.

Summary



Every distributed optimization algorithm decomposes in this form, and any optimization method and consensus estimator form a valid algorithm.

- provides a systematic way to interpret and compare algorithms
- interpretations as robust optimization and consensus with feedback
- how can we leverage this decomposition to design optimal algorithms?